

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,426	0	2/08/2001	Daniel L. Roth	10663-013001 5617	
26161	7590	03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		ON PC		VO, HU	YEN X
225 FRANKL BOSTON, M)		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBEI	
				2655	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/779,426	ROTH ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Huyen Vo	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears in a timely filed Notice of Appears	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION APPLICATION IN CONDITION at timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely EPLY [check either a) or b)] The of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	TION FOR ALLOWation. A proper reply places the applicate of the final rejection, who date of the final rejection. R 1.136(a) and the appropriginally set in the final	ANCE. y to a tion in Continued ichever is later. In on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFf 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be (a) they raise new issues that would require further (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of the present additional claims without canceling the content of th	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of ecause: er consideration and/or search (selow); en better form for appeal by mate	f the appeal. see NOTE below); rially reducing or sir	
NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for	be allowable if submitted in a se	•	
 application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u> 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	☐ will be entered a	·
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Luan Me	2
		SUSAN MCFADE PRIMARY EXAMI	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the functionality of a speech detector is well known to a person of oridnary skill in the art. If the input speech is not detected by the speech detector, the signal is classified as non-speech.